Application No.: 10/578,054

Communication dated May 17, 2011

Resp. to Rest. Reg. of Apr. 15, 2011

In the event that the Examiner intended to request an election of a fluorphore and a chemical-energy transferring composition, applicants elect:

Fluorophore: xanthene from claim 22; and

Chemical-energy transferring composition: oxalate ester from

claim 18.

The elected species read on elected claims 16-29.

The restriction requirement is traversed. The Examiner urges that the common feature of the claims can be found in either the Mahant or Crutchfield patents.

This is the second restriction and the first was improperly based because the common feature was based upon a combination of references and the Examiner agreed that the common feature must lack novelty and cannot be found in a combination of references.

This time the Examiner properly articulates the basis for lack of unity from Rule 1.475(a). However, the grouping of the claims into four groups is still not proper under the law. You cannot use any common feature, find that feature in the prior art and break up the claims. The feature has to be that which when "considered as a whole" marks a contribution over the prior art.

Here, the Examiner notes that the non-fluorescent, non-enzymatic system of creating detectable light using a fluorophore and a chemical-energy transferring

Application No.: 10/578,054

Communication dated May 17, 2011

Resp. to Rest. Reg. of Apr. 15, 2011

composition (e.g. oxalate) is known and thus cannot be the basis for unity. This is true

but it is also irrelevant to the question at hand. You might as well have said that the

fluorophores are common to all the claims—they are known and reach the same

erroneous conclusion as to unity.

The rules required that the linking concept also be the concept that defines the

invention. The linking inventive concept of these claims is the attachment of the

fluorophore to a binding partner and using a chemical energy-transferring composition to

detect the presence or absence of that binding partner. To the best of our knowledge, no

one has used the Crutchfield-type chemistry in this format.

Reconsideration of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite

prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-576-0200.

Respectfully submitted,

/Kenneth A. Weber/

Kenneth A. Weber

Reg. No. 31,677

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND & STOCKTON LLP

Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-3834

Tel: (415) 576-0200

Fax: (415) 576-0300

KAW:kaw/jhd

63346365 v1

Page 3 of 3